Direct observation of an internally π -complexed alkenyllithium compound in THF solution

Thomas Rölle and Reinhard W. Hoffmann*

Fachbereich Chemie der Philipps-Universität Marburg, Hans-Meerwein-Strasse, D-35032 Marburg, Germany

NMR studies have shown that the hexenyllithium compound 4 exists at -80 °C in THF solution as two distinct species: the 'normal' THF solvated alkyllithium compound 4a and the internally π -complexed species 4b in a ratio of 5.5:1.

Inter- and intra-molecular carbolithiation reactions have found much interest in recent years.^{1,2} The lithium cation must play a specific role in this process, since hex-5-enyllithium cyclizes readily, its sodium or potassium counterparts refuse to do so.³ For this reason specific interactions of the lithium cation with the double bond have been discussed.^{2,3} In fact, *ab initio* calculations show that intermolecular interactions between unsolvated lithium hydride and ethene^{2,4} is stabilizing by 36.5 kJ mol⁻¹. We carried out MP2(full)/HF 6-311G** calculations on the interaction between propene and lithium hydride, which show that the complex 1 is more stable by 49.3 kJ mol⁻¹ than its constituents. The geometry of the complex 1 is very similar to the internal complex of unsolvated hexenyllithium 2, calculated by Wiberg and co-workers.²

Coordination of a lithium cation to a π -system can also be seen in several crystal structures of organolithium compounds.⁵ Such π -complexes are probably necessary intermediates in carbolithiation reactions and may be important for directing the stereochemical outcome of several organolithium reactions.⁶ Nevertheless, it remains open whether such π -complexes are observable species in the usual donor solvents such as tetrahydrofuran (THF) or diethyl ether. In fact, early NMR investigations suggested ⁷ that but-3-enyllithium is internally coordinated in cyclopentane as solvent, but that π -complexation is disrupted on addition of dimethyl ether. It is therefore of interest that we observed both the internally π -coordinated as well as the π -uncoordinated form of the hexenyllithium derivative 4 in THF by ¹H,⁶Li HOESY experiments.

4,4-Dimethyl-1-(phenylsulfanyl)hex-5-enyllithium **4** was generated \dagger from 4,4-dimethyl-1-(phenylsulfanyl)hex-5-enyl-(trimethyl)stannane **3** at -78 °C in THF by *tert*-butyllithium. The organolithium compound **4** is monomeric in THF at 0 °C, its aggregation number was found to be 1.07 \pm 0.1 by vapour pressure osmometry.

Investigations at -80 °C of 4, generated from the tin compound 3 and butyl-⁶Li in [²H₈]THF revealed the presence of two major components A and B, as well as a minor constituent C. Integration of the ¹H and ⁶Li NMR spectra gives their ratio as $(80 \pm 5):(15 \pm 3):(5 \pm 3)$.

The major components A and B show no differences in the 500 MHz ¹H NMR spectra with H_c at $\delta = 4.72$ and H_t at $\delta = 4.74$ ppm. Both compounds show the lithium-bearing carbon atom with a signal at $\delta = 18.7$ ppm in the 126 MHz ¹³C NMR spectrum, with $J_{C-H} = 124$ Hz, indicative of an



sp³-hybridized carbon atom. Compound A ($\delta = 109.2$ and 150.8 ppm) and compound B ($\delta = 111.3$ and 148.0 ppm) differ in the chemical shifts of the alkenic carbon atoms. The two compounds also show distinct signals in the 74 MHz ⁶Li NMR spectrum. Compound A gives a singlet at $\delta = 1.0$ ppm (relative to external 1 mol dm⁻³ LiCl in D₂O), compound B gives a singlet at $\delta = 2.7$ ppm. The fact that two distinct signals are observed at -80 °C shows that the interconversion between compounds A and B is slow at this temperature, the individual lifetime τ of these species should be equal to or larger than 0.3 s.

[†] The starting material 3 was prepared from 4,4-dimethylhex-5-enal by addition of trimethyltin lithium in THF at -78 °C. After hydrolysis with aqueous NH₄Cl solution the resulting stannylated alcohol was treated with diphenyl disulfide and tributylphosphine in DMF at 0 °C to yield 68% of 3, for details see ref. 8.



Fig. 1 ¹H,⁶Li HOESY data for the lithium compound 4

¹H,⁶Li HOESY experiments^{1,9} suggest that compound A is the π -uncomplexed species **4a** and that compound B the internally coordinated organolithium compounds **4b**, *cf*. Fig. 1: the lithium signal of the major species A at $\delta = 1.0$ ppm shows no cross peak to the alkenic proton signals. In turn, the lithium signal of the species B at $\delta = 2.7$ ppm shows a strong cross peak to H_e, the vinylic proton in the *cis*-position. We therefore conclude that the hexenyllithium compound **4** exists in THF solution as two distinct species, the normal THF solvate **4a** and the internally π -complexed species **4b** in a 5.5:1 ratio. It is conceivable that the *gem*-dialkyl effect¹⁰ stabilizes **4b** to the point that it becomes observable in THF solution.

[‡] The HOESY spectrum was recorded in the phase-sensitive mode using a relaxation delay of 5.0 s and a mixing time of 4.0 s. 128 free induction decays were recorded on 512 data points using a spectral width of 6.8 ppm in F₂ and 8.0 ppm in F₁. The data matrix was processed to give 512 × 512 real datapoints using an exponential window in F₂ and a $\pi/2$ -shifted sinusoidal window in F₁. As a sideline, we would like to comment on the minor constituent C observed to *ca.* 5%. It is characterized by different ¹H NMR signals for H_c and H_t at 4.84 and 4.82 ppm as well as by two ⁶Li NMR signals at $\delta = 1.7$ and 1.8 ppm. Both ⁶Li signals show a cross peak to the terminal alkene protons of compound C in the ¹H,⁶Li HOESY experiment, *cf.* Fig. 1.

While 4 is monomeric in THF, butyllithium is a dimer in this solvent.¹¹ Residual butyllithium should form a mixed dinuclear aggregate in the presence of an excess of 4. Such a mixed dimer would contain two magnetically distinct Li atoms, both of which could interact with the π -electrons of the double bond. The data recorded for the minor constituent C are therefore consistent with it being a mixed dimer between 4b and butyllithium.

Acknowledgements

This study was supported by the Graduierten-Kolleg 'Metallorganische Chemie' at the Universität Marburg, the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (SFB 260) and the Fonds der Chemischen Industrie. We thank Miss K. Zimmermann for the osmometric measurements.

References

- For leading references see: (a) G. W. Klumpp, *Rec. Trav. Chim. Pays-Bas*, 1986, **105**, 1; (b) B. Mudryk and T. Cohen, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1991, **56**, 5761; (c) R. F. Schmitz, F. J.-J. de Kanter, M. Schakel and G. W. Klumpp, *Tetrahedron*, 1994, **50**, 5933.
- 2 W. F. Bailey, A. D. Khanolkar, K. Gavaskar, T. V. Ovaska, K. Rossi, Y. Thiel and K. B. Wiberg, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1991, 113, 5720.
- 3 W. F. Bailey and E. R. Punzalan, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1994, 116, 6577.
- 4 K. N. Houk, N. G. Rondan, P. v. R. Schleyer, E. Kaufmann and T. Clark, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1985, 107, 2821; cf. also J. E. Del Bene, M. J. Frisch, K. Raghavachari, J. A. Pople and P. v. R. Schleyer, J. Chem. Phys., 1983, 87, 73; K. Sorge, W. Bauer, P. v. R. Schleyer and D. Stalke, Angew. Chem., 1995, 107, 1766.
- 5 W. N. Setzer and P. v. R. Schleyer, Adv. Organomet. Chem., 1985, 24, 355; C. Lambert and P. v. R. Schleyer in Houben-Weyl Methoden der Organischen Chemie, ed. M. Hanack, Thieme, Stuttgart, 1993, vol. E19d, pp. 66-72; for an example, see: C. Eaborn, P. B. Hitchcock, J. D. Smith and A. C. Sullivan, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1983, 1390.
- 6 G. H. Posner and C. M. Lentz, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1979, 101, 934; cf. also I. Marek, D. Beruben and J.-F. Normant, Tetrahedron Lett., 1995, 36, 3695.
- 7 J. P. Oliver, J. B. Smart and M. T. Emerson, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1966, 88, 4101.
- 8 T. Rölle, Diplomarbeit, Universität Marburg, 1994.
- 9 W. Bauer and P. v. R. Schleyer, Adv. Carbanion Chem., 1992, 1, 89.
- 10 M. E. Jung and J. Gervay, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1991, 113, 224, and refs. therein.
- 11 D. Seebach, R. Hässig and J. Gabriel, Helv. Chim. Acta, 1983, 66, 308.

Paper 5/04405A Received 6th July 1995 Accepted 11th September 1995